


November 7, 2002

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
445 Twelfth Street, SW, TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



Re: Petition for Rule Making (MB Docket No. 02-292)
Supporting Comments for McLean, Texas

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Enclosed is an original and four (4) copies each of Petitioner's Comments for McLean, Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Fabian".

Robert Fabian
4 Hickory Crossing Lane
Argyle, TX 76226
(940) 241-1204 Tele

No. of copies received 044
USE ABOVE

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**


In the Matter of

Amendment of 73.202 (b)
Table of Allotments
FM Broadcast Stations
(McLean, TX)

)
)
)
)

MB Docket No. 02-292
RM- 10540

To: John Karousos, Assistant Chief
Audio Division of the
Media Bureau



PETITIONER's COMMENTS

1. Petitioner respectfully submits that the public interest would be served by allocating Channel 279C3 to McLean, Texas, as that community's first aural broadcast transmission service. McLean is an incorporated city with a population in excess of 828 people. McLean has its own mayor, Bob Martin, its own school system, its own post office, its own fire department and a number of local churches. The proposed channel 279C3 will provide additional diversity and an outlet for local self-expression to McLean residents and therefore is in the public interest.

2. As the Commission is aware, this is one of a number of petitions filed by this Petitioner and other like-minded petitioners. The purpose of filing such petitions is to seek allotments to relatively small communities which are sufficiently removed from major metropolitan areas that there is no prospect that the allotment is merely a step to inaugurate still another facility serving a major broadcast market. These petitions seek allotments to relatively small communities in their own right. For reasons stated above, the instant petition merits the requested allotment under established principles in Section

307(b) of the Communications Act.

3. The filing of a number of similar petitions has its genesis in the recent development in radio broadcasting of Localized Network Programming. This is a concept that has been developed by the major broadcasters for essentially mid to major markets. It is an approach that provides the economy of a network but the feel and appearance of local programming. The belief of the Petitioner and like-minded petitioners is that such a plan will work with small market communities on a regional basis. Given a geographical area, such as Eastern Oklahoma, Western Oklahoma, North East Texas, West Texas, South Texas, etc., the licensees of FM stations in small communities of a given region – whether the petitioners themselves or other licensees who might successfully outbid them for the license – could initiate unique network programming designed specifically for that region and additionally provide localized community inserts, such as local weather, local events, programming from specific remote locations, regional-specific news, public affairs, public service announcements, promotions and other programming giving life to the commission's policy favoring localism in broadcasting. While there would be local sales, the focus would also be in regional network sales, drawing on the advantages of advertising to the region and the unique nature of the region.

4. The vision is that providing radio service to an area of, say, 5,000 people may not allow for the operation of a traditionally run radio station. However, a network of ten stations in an identifiable geographic area covering, say, 50,000 people could very well be economically viable. This concept is an approach to providing radio service to clusters of stations in small communities in the nature of modern radio developed by the

major group owners for mid and large markets. Such a concept – in lieu of allotting the frequencies to communities in or adjacent to major radio markets – provides a further public interest reason in support of the Petition under Section 307(b).

5. Should this petition be granted, and Channel 279C3 be allotted to McLean, Texas Petitioner will apply for Channel 279C3, and after it is authorized, will promptly construct the new facility.

The information provided in these Comments is correct and true to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Fabian
4 Hickory Crossing Lane
Argyle, TX 76226
(940) 241-1204 Tele

cc: Gene A. Bechtel, Law Office of Gene Bechtel, suite 600, 1050 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, telephone (202) 496-1289, telecopier (301) 762-0156, attorney for the Petitioner. It is requested that the Commission and any parties who may file pleadings in the captioned matter serve copies to Mr. Bechtel as well as the Petitioner.

November 7, 2002

McLeancom